

# THE EXAMINER

"PROVE ALL THINGS; HOLD FAST THAT WHICH IS GOOD."

VOLUME III.

LOUISVILLE KY: SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 1, 1849.

WHOLE NUMBER 16.

**THE EXAMINER;**  
Published Weekly, on Jefferson St., next door but one to the Post Office.  
**TERMS.**  
TWO DOLLARS PER ANNUM IN ADVANCE.  
SIX COPIES FOR TEN DOLLARS.  
**PAUL SEYMOUR,**  
PUBLISHER.

In what quarter are we to look for the great philanthropist of the nineteenth century? Go back a hundred years and suppose the question to be asked, who of the young men of that time was to win a statue in St. Paul's cathedral as a tribute to his philanthropic services. None would have sought for him in a grocer's shop, or looked for him in that pale-faced boy behind Mr. Newham's counter in London. Yet such was John Howard in his youth. The son of a wealthy upholsterer, he was apprenticed to a wholesale grocer at the age of fifteen. Although the business was not to his taste, and on his father's death he purchased what remained of his time, he undoubtedly derived much advantage from the rigid discipline of his apprenticeship, especially much of the accuracy in details which enabled him to give such clear statements of the condition of the suffering and point out the efficient remedy. It was years before his hour came and he found his true mission. We can but glance at two or three points in his life previous to his celebrated career. In the year 1752 or 1753 visit the village of Newington near London, and you find that the pale apprentice has become a man of fortune, and though still in feeble health, he devotes himself to scientific pursuits and charitable deeds. He is now twenty-five years of age and married. The neighbors think him a little peculiar, not only from his unfeeling benevolence to the poor, but from the pertinacity with which he insisted upon making the excellent person who had attended him in sickness, his wife, notwithstanding great disparity of years. He takes decided ground as a religious man, and without being at all dogmatic is an interested member of the Dissenting Church in the place. He himself started and headed a subscription for purchasing a house for the minister of the congregation, a measure which of course we commend to general adoption. Such was Howard at Newington, a kind hearted man of wealth and leisure, of whom few persons out of the little village knew or cared. Glance at him once more a few years after. Look into a filthy dungeon in Brest, the naval port of France. There upon the damp floor of the prison, with only a little straw to protect them, lie a considerable company of Englishmen, sailors and passengers of a merchant vessel bound to Lisbon, and captured by a French privateer. For forty hours they are left without food, and then but a miserable piece of mutton is thrown to them without plate or knife to hold or divide it. Among them there is a somewhat feeble looking man of twenty-nine years. It is Howard. He is tending the lot of the captive in all its bitterness and unconsciously preparing himself for his holy mission. Left a widower, with health impaired and mind somewhat given to melancholy, he looked to travel for relief, and was led by his interest in the suffering to visit the scene of the recent fearful earthquake at Lisbon. His imprisonment was not of long duration, though long enough to give him much knowledge and impulse. The immediate occasion of directing his attention to the cause so identified with his name, was his appointment to the post of high sheriff for the county of Bedford. This office although honorable and responsible was one usually undertaken by some affluent and prominent man who took to himself all the dignity of the station, and left his labors to some subordinate. Howard was not the man to content himself with grand pageants and banquets to which the high sheriff was usually called. Scrupulously faithful to his duties, he took an early opportunity to inspect the goal of his country. He saw at once that a state of things existed there that called out his warm indignation and protest. He was struck first of all by the outrageous custom of retaining men in prison for fees charged them for the time spent in confinement previous to their trial. Anxious to abate this abuse, he investigated the condition of other goals in the hope of finding more humane precedents, and thus his career as the prisoner's friend began. This was in the year 1773.

The revelations of oppression and misery that constantly presented themselves to him in his tour through England astonished himself as they did the whole English public. The disease, vice and injustice that were connected with the prevalent system, he carefully investigated and boldly exposed. The attention of the English Parliament was at once drawn to the subject, Howard was examined before the house of Commons, and a bill was passed abolishing the obnoxious goal fees and providing for the better health of the prisoners. What to some men would have been hailed as a triumph sufficient to crown a life with honor, was to him but the beginning of his work. He aimed as it were to take the whole census of human misery, and after two tours of observation through the prisons of the continent, he published his first grand treatise on prisons in the year 1777. We may regard the publication of this work as closing the first period of his philanthropic career. I cannot review or even sketch the forms of misery that he met with in the prisons of Europe. In almost every where else the darkness was unbroken, and punishment seemed to have no reference to the reformation of the offender. One incident is worthy of mention in his first visit to France for his high honor. At Paris he tried to obtain admittance into the Bastille, and actually passed within the outer gate. But an officer came out of the guard-house with such a look of astonishment and threatening that the philanthropist made his way back as quickly as possible. What thoughts are suggested by this fact—Howard and the Bastille—the spirit of humanity endeavoring to enter the dungeon of feudal despotism. Humanity is repulsed, and despo-

tion triumphs within its most and battlements; the captive in the iron cages were not then to hear the voice of a friend. How different the meeting some ten years afterwards at those gates. Not gentle humanity but terrific revenge stands face to face with feudal despotism, and the Parisian mob raised the stronghold of tyranny to the ground. May humanity not plead thus in vain with the remnants of feudal oppression that still curse the earth. May the gentle dews of mercy avert another baptism of blood. We find in his journal passages like these, bearing the date of Sunday evening, March 15, 1789: "An approving conscience adds pleasure to every act of piety, benevolence and self-denial. It inspires serenity and brightens every gloomy hour, disarming adversity, disease and death. It is my ambition to put on the Lord Jesus Christ and have the same mind that was also in him. "Health, time, powers of mind and worldly possessions are from God. Do I consecrate them all to him—so help me, O, my God. "Our superfluities should be given up to the convenience of others—our conveniences should give place to the necessities of others—and even our necessities give way to the extremities of the poor." Such were this man's Sunday evening thoughts at his home in Cardington the last year of his life. These thoughts were forthwith translated into action. Once more, and with a presentiment of approaching death, he went forth to study the nature of the plague in its most fearful haunts in Russia, Turkey, and the East. It is said to say farewell even for a few months to anything that he loved. There was great beauty and pathos in Howard's farewell to England, his home and friends—a farewell forever. He made his will and all necessary arrangements as to his property; he even gave directions for his tombstone, and forbade any epitaph except the simple inscription of his name, age, death, and the words, "My hope is in Christ." He visited the poor in his neighborhood, passed the evening before his departure in the grove planted by himself and the deceased friend most dear to him, and on the morning he was on his way in search of the pestilence that walked in darkness. Visiting all the chief prisons and hospitals on the way, he went through Germany to St. Petersburg, and thence to the borders of the Black Sea to Cherson, where war and disease had accumulated their horrors. Whilst the Russian army were reveling in festivity for their victory over the Turks, the philanthropist was pursuing his holy vocation at the bedside of the sick and dying. His hour came, as it must come to all. Called to visit a young woman sick of malignant fever, and thus obliged to ride a long distance in the cold and wet on horseback, he was no longer proof against infection, thus induced by fatigue and storm. Calmly, even cheerfully, he watched death, as it came stealing over him. He gave directions for his funeral to the friend who attended him, and forbade that any monument or inscription should mark the spot of his burial: "let me quietly in the earth, place a sundial over my grave, and let me be forgotten." Forgotten he could not be. War and winter did not prevent Russia from honoring his obsequies with the pageantry that he had deprecated. And when England heard the news of his death, it was commemorated as a public calamity, and ere long the statue of Howard stood in St. Paul's cathedral.—*Religious Magazine.*

**The Slave Question.**  
The following article from the Concordia (La.) Intelligencer, is on a subject not out of date, although the article itself is some months old: There seems to be an unusual degree of excitement throughout the South, and especially in the city of Washington, on the subject of a supposed interference with Southern rights and Southern institutions, on the part of the people of the free States. As usual in all undue excitements, there is too little disposition to reflect calmly, or "reason together." The judgment and the understanding are warped and biased, and feeling, passion and prejudice, are allowed to have uncontrolled sway. Dangers appear threatening and immediate, which to the more calm and considerate seem distant, and of no serious moment. It would be wise and proper to be well assured of the danger to our real, valuable, and well-established rights, before we embark with fiery and intemperate zeal in opposing the rights claimed by others. It is undeniably true, that a very large majority of the people of the free States think slavery a "political evil," and believing so, it is surprising that they should desire to go all the lengths warranted by the Constitution in preventing the extension of it. There is also a class (limited in numbers and respectability) who look upon it as a "moral evil," and who are willing to go beyond the Constitution to suppress it. With this class we have nothing to do. To contend with such, or be willing to discuss the subject with them, would be both unwise and undignified. But with the other class, embracing probably a large majority of all the voters of the United States, it becomes us to reason calmly and considerately. Violence and intemperate zeal rarely succeed in any cause. The Constitution certainly gives to the Congress of the United States the power to dispose of and make all useful regulations for the government of the Territories. And who is to decide what regulations shall be deemed "useful"? Surely the Congress of the United States—this body alone—is authorized to decide what regulations are "useful." One portion of the Union may deem certain "regulations" useful and needful, while another portion may condemn them as unnecessary and useless; and until the form of our Government may be changed, majority in Congress must be deemed the competent and the only competent tribunal to decide the question. Due allowances should be made for the opinions (or prejudices, if you will,) of our Northern brethren, as to the tendency of slavery to retard the advancement of political power or personal prosperity; and so long as they confine themselves to this view of it, it would be inexpedient and unwise to provoke them, by ill-judged violence

and opposition, to claim powers which the Constitution clearly and expressly withholds. With slavery in the States where it now exists, they as yet have set up no claim or pretension to interfere; and should we of the South waste our strength in contending for a doubtful right, (which, when attained, would not benefit us,) when by doing so we provoke aggression on our real and valuable rights, and thereby put them in temporary jeopardy? The prohibition of slavery in the Territories now free would operate no real or direct injury to us, because without the prohibition, we could not take our slaves there. If the people of the free States believe their political advancement, their increase in population, wealth, comfort, and prosperity, are mainly attributable to their freedom from slavery, surely it is not surprising that they should desire to prohibit the extension of it. And so long as they confine themselves, in these prohibitory efforts, within the limits of the Constitution, and so long as they do not infringe on our established rights—rights conceded and established by the Constitution—why should we be excited to intemperate remarks in our opposition to them? If our real rights were assailed, if valuable concessions were about to be wrested from us, a crisis would then arrive when every true Southerner would be found striving who should be in the front ranks of opposition to such invasions, and in defense of our interests and our property. Until this crisis arrives, why spend our strength in useless opposition? There can be no invasion, no infringement of our just rights, without the destruction of the Constitution, or the remodeling of it; and when that period arrives, it will be time to talk of a "separate Southern Republic." In regard to the prohibition of the slave trade in the District of Columbia, Congress certainly has the same power over that the Legislature of Mississippi has over the subject within her limits. The corporation of Natchez prohibited, by an ordinance, the slave dealers from making Natchez a depot for the sale of slaves. It was considered a nuisance that ought to be abated, and was abated. Has not Congress the same power over the District of Columbia? And when the people of the District petition for an act to emancipate their slaves, Congress has the same power to pass it that the Legislature of Mississippi would have (say, say) to pass a similar act, upon the petition of a majority of the people. Why, then, should we be excited to angry feelings, when the attempt is now made to break up the mart for slaves in the city of Washington? What was denounced by the good people of Natchez, (composed as our population is, principally of slaveholders,) surely cannot be looked upon in a less favorable light by the majority in Congress, coming as it does from the non-slaveholding States. "Let us reason together" on this subject, and let us do so calmly and dispassionately. Let us make due allowance for their prejudices, and not be too far carried away by our own. Let us at least show some respect for the feelings of those who do not concur in the institution as immoral, but who honestly entertain the belief that it is a political evil. We ought to bear in mind that a similar belief prevailed after the adoption of the Constitution, when the provisions, the design, and the spirit of that instrument, were at least as well known and understood as they now are. The prohibition was then enacted, (and carried, too, by the votes of the slave States,) without exciting the alarm that now prevails. A reference to the Journals of Congress of that period will show that the Ordinances in relation to the North-western Territory had not been passed, and that many of those who voted for it had been members of the Convention that framed the Constitution. We should not now be so excited by the efforts of the present day to enact similar measures. It is notorious that all that has ever been gained by the South was from a spirit of compromise, and by the same spirit we may yet secure all that is desirable for us to possess or contend for. We are too fond of proclaiming "crises"—and it may be, when the critical crisis arrives, we may be found with impaired if not exhausted strength. The lawyer who holds to make the weak points of his case strong, and neglects to enforce the strong ones, is not apt to succeed before a jury. And are we not likely to jeopard our real and substantial rights, by opposing rights claimed by others, the concession of which would not injure us? It has been said, that even by conceding what is of doubtful expediency, we lay the foundation for trespasses on rights of vital importance. This is not necessarily the result. The language of Gen. Jackson was, "Claim nothing that is not clearly right, and submit to nothing absolutely wrong."

**The Popular Side.**  
Let any person for a moment enter the political arena, (and it is the duty of every citizen to do so when the free institutions of the country or the liberties of his fellow-men are in danger,) and witness the tactics of the members of the two great political parties, and he will be surprised to see how extensively, among those who are mere politicians, the desire prevails to be on the side which will enroll the greatest numbers. Hence, when a question is presented for their consideration, instead of inquiring whether or not it is just and right, conducive to the public good, demanded by the great interests of the country, or what is higher still, tending to improve the condition of their fellow-men, they will look only at the effect it will have upon their interests as partisans, to their bearing upon their promotion to office, or to the amount of plunder, the loaves and fishes, the spoils, which they may be gathered for themselves and their political friends. The politicians who change with the changes of their leaders are, therefore, of all men, the most destitute of principle; there is no denying of it; they should never be guilty of laying claim to it with their lips. They do not sufficiently often reflect that office of itself, when the heart is wanting, will confer no honor. Politicians of this description would go for Coles, in support of measures violating the plainest provisions of the Constitution. Opposing an unjust war—a war against a weaker sister Republic—they change their principles, unite in bacchanalian revelries, and give their influence, and cast their votes

in favor of the bloodiest actor in that tragedy of wickedness and crime. Slavery a wrong, acknowledged to be so, almost without dissent, and yet seeking its extension! Never, since the commencement of our national existence, were ever more politicians guilty of a greater inconsistency—a greater wickedness. A fast surely was never more appropriate for restoring the judgments of Heaven. A fast followed by works, where principle and right are not invoked, will be a national mockery, of which those who are the actors must bear a fearful responsibility. Can it be that the politician ever utters the inquiry, "Is it there not a God?" Alas! many are enabled in reality to answer it, by the solemn, stern, unrelenting visitation of death. The popular side is the side of numbers. Look at the population of the world—at the numbers enrolled under the banner of virtue, of right, of humanity, of civilization, and then at the host guilty of crime, of wrong, of oppression, of perpetuating barbarism at Christendom, with its constant progress, its approximation to a better state, a happier existence—and then at heathendom, with its idols of wood and stone, its stripes, its men in bondage, its vindictive wars—which is the popular side? Alas! painful to answer—the side of wrong. Politician, it is your side—the side of wrong; wrong with its miseries, and its bitter woes, and bitter punishments. Fit and appropriate would it be, if you bowed down to your idols of wood and stone. Many of you do. To your party idols a worship is rendered more execrable than that of the heathen, in his ignorance, breathing his prayer to an unbreathing statue, invoking the protection of his god. Honor, then, to the glorious few, daring who, all for the right, will ever the Right maintain. It is ever a few who make the first advance beyond the olden, established, boundaries of error. Their numbers soon increase, and they become a mighty, organized host. Their garments glitter with gems more brilliant than gems of silver or gold—the radiant gems of truth. Glory, then, and honor to those who follow not, who enroll their names ever to remain on Virtue's side, who gloriously strive to make of earth a heaven before, preparatory to an existence in the heaven above. In the right, there is a moral power which bars, nor chains, nor dungeons, nor party barriers, can withstand. In adversity, strength is gathered for the decisive issue, for withstanding the temptations, the blandishments of the honor of triumph. Truth is omnipotent. It is decreed by the Almighty that it shall prevail. The political revolutions, the constant and accelerating progress of truth, of themselves alone, declare that in our own land the time is not far distant, when the banner of Freedom will wave over our national capital. But greater far will be the moral triumph when shall cease among the nations of the earth—when Peace shall prevail—the Arbitrator divine. The source of slavery will then be removed. Never, since the world began, has truth spread with greater rapidity than at the present time. It travels our deserts and continents, it visits the islands of the sea, it is wafted abroad upon every breeze, the electric communication aids it on. Certain will be the triumph of Freedom—as certain, and greater, will be the triumph of Peace. X. Y. Z. New Hampshire, July 18, 1849.

**A Vampire.**  
The correspondent of the London Standard of Freedom gives an account of one of the most singular trials before the Military Tribunal which has ever occupied public attention. One Sergeant Bertrand was accused of violating the tombs of the dead. An immense auditory, embracing some of the first people in France, many of the most distinguished medical men of Europe, and several females, were collected. A more interesting, mild and gentle being, has seldom appeared at a criminal bar. He, without the slightest hesitation, acknowledged that, borne on by an irresistible fury, he had rushed to the cemeteries, dragged from their coffins the lately-buried bodies, beat them with tremendous violence, and tore out from the carcasses the internal portions; and from what was stated by the physician, from whom he had just candidly confessed his enormities, it is not unlikely that he feasted on these mutilated remains, and committed horrors at which humanity shudders. Some scientific remarks were elicited from the medical men, which led to the conviction that the man was insane; indeed, there could be but little doubt. The military law could only inflict a punishment on him, when found guilty, of a year's imprisonment. The Standard of Freedom thus notices this horrible creature more at length: The trial which took place in Paris, and at which allusion has been made by our French correspondent, of the sergeant who tore bodies from their graves for the purpose of mutilating them, has produced a great sensation throughout the medical and legal world. The tribunal before which he was tried, being entirely composed of military men, was incapable of eliciting those truths which are useful to society, and upon the investigation of which, so much depends. That the unfortunate man was insane there can be little doubt, but how far he was responsible for the horrible acts he committed we are unable to judge. There are upon record in our courts of law several instances of appalling offences, which have been looked upon as the acts of lunatics; but those who have had these fearful impulses, have been silent, moody, gloomy creatures, upon whose brow the mark of Cain has been visible. In this instance the perpetrator of crimes before us, is apparently of a kind and gentle nature; educated originally for the church, he was superior to most of the persons by whom he was surrounded, and was placed by his officers in a situation demanding high qualifications. Without any feeling beyond an irresistible propensity, he sallied in the dead of the night from his quarters, which were sometimes so guarded that it required the utmost cunning to get out of them. He scaled the highest walls, even, and having reached a grave, he dragged out its lustrous tenant, beat it, mutilated it, and tore out its intestines. Though not aware, according to his own confession, of the fact, he must have become a cannibal, for the marks of his teeth were plainly dis-